1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 7 8 ELIZABETH HENDERSON, 9 Plaintiff. Case No. 2:05-cv-00716-RCJ-GWF 10 REPORT AND VS. RECOMMENDATION 11 BENICORP INSURANCE COMPANY, an Indiana corporation, 12 Defendant. 13 On November 18, 2010 and December 1, 2010, the Court scheduled status hearings in this 14 15 action. The parties failed to attend these hearings. As a result, the Court ordered Plaintiff to file a 16 status report stating whether she intended to move forward with this case or whether she wanted to 17 dismiss this action. (#52) 18 In response, Plaintiff filed a status report stating that Defendant Benicorp Insurance Co., an 19 Indiana corporation, has been declared insolvent by a state court in Indiana. (#53 at 2). The Indiana 20 Court of competent jurisdiction over Benicorp Insurance Co. subsequently declared that Defendant was 21 subject to the Court's receivership and control. (Id.) Plaintiff's current understanding is that 22 Defendant's status in Indiana prevents her from recovering on her claims in the present action. (Id. at 23 3). As a result, the plaintiff recommends dismissal of this action. Accordingly, 24 RECOMMENDATION 25 IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed without prejudice. Plaintiff has voluntarily requested that this action be dismissed in light of Defendant 26 27 Benicorp Insurance Co.'s being placed into receivership in Indiana. 28

## **NOTICE**

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Any objection to this Finding and Recommendation must be in writing and filed with the Clerk of the Court within fourteen (14) days. The Supreme Court has held that the courts of appeal may determine that an appeal has been waived due to the failure to file objections within the specified time. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 142 (1985). This circuit has also held that (1) failure to file objections within the specified time and (2) failure to properly address and brief the objectionable issues waives the right to appeal the District Court's order and/or appeal factual issues from the order of the District Court. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991); *Britt v. Simi Valley United Sch. Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).

DATED this 15th day of December, 2010.

GEORGE FOLEY, JR United States Magistrate Judge